



Rec'd PCT/PFO 31 MAR 2006

Per #6

EXPRESS MAIL No.: EV 304 053 280 US

Deposited: March 31, 2006

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service Express mail under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to; Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, Va. 22313

Ruth Montalvo  
Ruth Montalvo

In the event that this paper is late filed and a necessary Petition for an Extension of Time is not concurrently filed herewith, please consider this as a Petition for the requisite extension of time, and to the extent not tendered by check attached hereto, authorization to charge the extension fee, or any other fee required in connection with this paper, to Deposit Account No. 50-1529.

Customer No: 026418

Docket No: GK-OEH-202/500814.20104

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**RECEIVED**

Applicant: Griebel et al

19 MAY 2006

Serial No. 10/535,601

Filed: May 19, 2005

Legal Staff  
International Division

For: METHOD FOR THE INTRODUCING OF AN INTEGRATED  
PREDETERMINED RUPTURE LINE IN A PLANAR EXPANSIVE BODY

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**SECOND RENEWED REQUEST UNDER 37 CFR 1.42**


This renewed petition is in response to the USPTO Notice mailed on January 31, 2006. Applicants herein submit an executed declaration to overcome the cited objections indicated in the USPTO Notice.

In response to the Notice, Applicants submit a new declaration clearly indicating that Vera Steinhaeuser is the legal representative of the deceased inventor Frank Steinhaeuser. The attached declaration also recites the citizenship, residence, and mailing address of both the deceased inventor, Frank Steinhaeuser and Frank Steinhaeuser's legal representative, Vera Steinhaeuser.

In view of the foregoing, and the attached declaration, Applicants respectfully request that the subject application be accorded status under 37 CFR § 1.47 and subsequently, the subject application be assigned to an Examiner and acted on by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

  
Gerald Kiel  
Gerald Kiel  
Reg. No. 25,116

March 31, 2006  
REED SMITH LLP  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
GHK:BKS



## UNITED STATES PATENT and TRADEMARK OFFICE

31 JAN 2006

500814-20104

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Gerald H. Kiel, Esq.  
Reed Smith LLP  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-7650

In re Application of : DECISION ON  
GRIEBEL et al :  
PCT No.: PCT/DE2003/003637 :  
Application No.: 10/535601 : PAPERS FILED  
Int. Filing Date: 29 October 2003 :  
Priority Date: 19 November 2002 :  
Attorney's Docket No.: 026418 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.42  
For: METHOD FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF AN :  
INTEGRATED ... EXPANSIVE BODY :

This is in response to the "RENEWED PETITION UNCER 37 CFR 1.42" filed 19 December 2005.

### BACKGROUND

In a decision from this office dated 18 October 2005, the declaration filed on 19 May 2005 was not accepted under 37 CFR 1.42. The declaration was unacceptable because it was not clear that Vera STEINHAEUSER is the sole heir or just the legal representative for the deceased inventor, Frank STEINHAEUSER.

On 19 December 2005, applicants submitted the renewed submission under 37 C.F.R. §1.42.

### DISCUSSION

Applicants have provided an executed declaration, which sets forth that Vera STEINHAEUSER as heir/legal representative of Frank STEINHAEUSER. Again, it is unclear if she is the sole heir because the declaration lists her as an heir, if she is the sole heir then it should be stated as such. Moreover, she signed the declaration as she was the fourth-joint inventor of the current patent application. That is, currently the current executed declaration describes her as the fourth-joint inventor but based on applicants' comments it appears that she is only the legal representative of Mr. Frank STEINHAEUSER. Thus, the declaration is improperly executed.

### CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, the request for status under 37 CFR 1.42 is not accepted.

## DOCKET

*Feb. 28, 2006 2nd Renewed Petition Due  
March 31, 2006 2nd Renewed Petition Pending*

If reconsideration on the merits of this petition is desired, a proper reply must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Failure to respond will result in the abandonment of the application. Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Second Renewed Request Under 37 CFR 1.42." Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed to the Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the office of PCT Legal Administration.



Rafael Bacares

PCT Legal Examiner

PCT Legal Office

Tel: (571) 272-3276

Fax: (571) 273-0459